Checklist for Interdisciplinary Review of Categorical Exclusions

Document Title: Mesa W	ash Allotment Trai	nster					
Document Number: DOI-BLM-AZ-G010-2015-0024-CX			Case File Number: 06172				
Preparer Name and Title	: Jason Martin, Rar	ngeland I	Vlanag	ement	Specia	list	
Date Scoping Initiated: 5/11/2015				Date Scoping Closed:			
Rubuttu C Thomas J. Schnell, AFM F	hopes Ok or Non-Renewable	Resource		Bio	ologist	Assigned: Jeff Conn	
Acting AFM For Renewab		6/15		N	AV EPA Co	my CowThy ordinator: Amy Corath	ers
Scott C. Cooke, SFO Field Critical Elements & Other		ting	cted	Comr	nents	Document Review	
Issues	Specialist	Yes	No	Yes	No	Signature	Date
L. NRHPP/Cultural	Dan McGrew	1.03	1/	103	(/	1) man	11/201
2. T&E Species	Jeff Conn			-	1/	211-	6/2/
3. Floodplains/Wetlands	Jason Martin		1		1	18 mgm 4	3/2/1
4. Invasive Species	Jason Martin		V		1/	Cho Sm J	Alall.

Sharisse Fisher

Planning and Environmental Coordinator: Why CoraThers

Assistant Field Manager – Reviewed/Recommended

5. NEPA Map

Attachments: _____

NEPA COMPLIANCE RECORD CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION (CX)

Safford Field Office

NEPA #: DOI-BLM-AZ-G010-2015-0024-CX

Serial/Case File No. Mesa Wash Allotment (#06172) Transfer

Proposed Action Title/Type: The Proposed action is the transfer of grazing preference on the Mesa Wash Allotment.

Location of Proposed Action (include name of 7.5 topographic map): The Mesa Wash Allotment is located in Navajo County approximately 0 miles north of Joseph City, Arizona. This area is covered by Joseph City USGS Quadrangle 7.5 Minute Map. See Attached Map.

Description of Proposed Action: Transfer the existing grazing preference from the current permittee (Joe Ann Smith) to Thomas and Glenda Penrod; trustees of Penrod Family Revocable Trust.

Applicant (if any): Thomas and Glenda Penrod

PART I: PLAN CONFORMANCE REVIEW. This proposed action is subject to the following land use plan: Safford District Resource Management Plan (RMP) and Records of Decision approved September 1992 and July 1994.

The proposed action has been reviewed and determined to be in conformance with this plan [43 CFR 1610.5, BLM MS 1601.04(C)(2)].

PROGRAM CONSULTATION & COORDINATION/CX CHECKLIST BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT SAFFORD FIELD OFFICE

PART II: CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW

ASSIGNMENT AND REVIEW

NEPA #: DOI-BLM-AZ-G010-2015-0024-CX

Projec	t Name: N	Aesa Wash Alle	otment Transfer							
Locati	ion (legal	description): T	19N., R.19E. Sec. 34							
NLCS										
Quad Name: Joseph City										
Projec	t Lead: _	Jason S. I	Martin							
Draft 1	Review: U	Init Manager/S	upervisor: A Date: 11/	6/15						
Techn	ical Revie	ew:								
App	olies?	NAME	EXCEPTION	SIGNATURE	DATE					
Yes	No			SIGNATURE	DATE					
()	(4)	R.J. Estes	(1) Have Significant adverse effects on public health or safety?	Rece	8/3/15					
()	(V)	Jason	(2) Have adverse effects on such unique geographic characteristics as	19	0/3//12					
()	()	Martin	principal drinking water aquifers, or wetlands.	Jan	9/2/15					
()	(4)	N/A	(3) Have adverse effects on such unique geographic characteristics as	0	1/ / -					
()	AX	Todd	parks, recreation or refuge lands, wilderness areas, wild or scenic	10dd Mulder	1.15					
		1000	rivers, or ecologically significant or critical areas including those listed	Wha	0/11(19					
		Murdoch	on the Department's National Register of Natural Landmarks.							
()	1/	Dan	(4) Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on							
()	W)	McGrew	the National Register of Historic Places, on such unique geographic	4 6.	1					
		Wiedlew	characteristics as historic or cultural resources. Violate a Federal law,	New	30 July					
			or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the	01	-0					
			protection of the environment. Limit access to and ceremonial use of	/M/112	2015					
			Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners.	100	2013					
()	(X	Jeff Conn								
	(V)	Jen Com	(5) Have adverse effects on species listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have adverse effects on designated Critical	11/1						
		/	Habitat for these species.	19/1	- 8/31/15					
()		Jaspoy Marky								
()		Whitbeck	(6) Contribute to the introduction, continuation existence, or spread of	kn-	9/2/15					
	(2)		noxious weeds or non-native invasive species.		,					
()	(V)	Jason	(7) Have highly controversial environmental effects	18n	9/2/1					
		Martin			10/15					
()	()	Manne Moore	(8) Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental	Allek	10/1/15					
		Moore	effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.		12/16/13					
()	(V)	Jason	(9) Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in							
, ,	. ,	Martin	principle about future actions with potentially significant	Jen	9/2/15					
			environmental effects.							
()	(V)	Jason	(10) Individually Insignificant, but cumulatively significant effects.	10-	-11-					
()		Martin	y significant officers,	for	9/2/15					
()	(.X	Jason	(11) Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income	b.	-1/-					
()	()	Martin	or minority populations.	BM	9/2/15					
				,	1 / 10					
n. 1.	ъ :				,					
Final .	Review:									
			(1) 1 M	/						
Envir	onmenta	l Coordinato	r: Umy Corathun Date: 1	127/16						
			Dutc.	1 104						

This proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act in accordance with 516 DM 11.9 D(1)): Rangeland Management-Approval of transfers of grazing preference.

This categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. It has been reviewed to determine if an of the exceptions described in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply.

The action does not have significant adverse effects on public health and safety nor does the action adversely affect such unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources, parks, recreation, or refuge lands, wilderness areas, wild or scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers, prime farmlands, wetlands, floodplains, or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the Department's National Register of Natural Landmarks. The action does not have highly controversial environmental effects nor have highly uncertain environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risk nor does it adversely affect a species listed or proposed to be listed on the list of endangered or threatened species. It does not establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about a future consideration with significant environmental effects or related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects. The proposed action does not adversely affect properties listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places or threaten to violate a Federal, State, local or tribal law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment or which require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands) or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.

Mitigation Measures/Stipulations:

No mitigation measures or stipulations are warranted in this transfer.

Part III: DECISION. I have reviewed this plan conformance and NEPA compliance record and have determined that the proposed action does not conflict with major land-use-plans and will not have any major adverse impacts on other resources. Therefore, it does not represent an exception, and is categorically excluded from further environmental review. It is my decision to implement the project, as described, with the mitigation measures attached.

Authorized Official:

Date: 1/29/16

